

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
EXAMINING GROUP 2855**

#17  
Response  
6-12-02  
aj

**PATENT**  
Attorney Docket No. 400388/TSI

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of:

HAMADA et al.

Art Unit: 2855

Application No. 09/425,630

Examiner: C. Dickens

Filed: October 22, 1999

For: FLOW RATE  
MEASURING DEVICE

**RENEWED REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

FAX COPY RECEIVED

Commissioner for Patents  
Box AF  
Washington, D.C. 20231

JUN 6 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Dear Sir:

Further in response to the Advisory Action mailed May 7, 2002 and the Examiner interview held June 6, 2002, Applicants again request reconsideration.


As discussed at the interview, none of the figures of the patent application that are designated as prior art, Figures 39-45, illustrate in cross-section a measuring duct having a first pair of generally smooth, converging inner wall surfaces including an inflection point. While many of those figures show a duct including generally smooth converging inner wall surfaces, the wall surfaces do not have, in cross-section, an inflection point. Therefore, Applicants respectfully maintain that the rejection for anticipation is incorrect and again ask for reconsideration.

At the Examiner interview, Applicants' representative made an error in stating that claim 1 is the only pending independent claim. In fact, claims 15 and 16 are also independent claims but those claims include the same limitation of claim 1 that was the subject of discussion in the Examiner interview.

In re Appln. of Hamada et al.  
Application No. 09/425,630

Reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Jeffrey A. Wyand, Reg. No. 29,458  
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.  
700 Thirteenth Street, N.W., Suite 300  
Washington, DC 20005-3960  
(202) 737-6770 (telephone)  
(202) 737-6776 (facsimile)

Date: June 6, 2002  
JAW:ves

FAX COPY RECEIVED

JUN 6 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800